

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILII	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,011	02/	/28/2002	Nikiforos Kollias	M0001-003002	M0001-003002 1205	
;	7590	05/27/2004		EXAMINER		
Kristofer E. I			SMITH, RUTH S			
Wayland, MA 01778				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				3737		
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/085,011	KOLLIAS ET AL.	1					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Ruth S Smith	3737						
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	'ess					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this come ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.	lanuary 2004.							
2a)□	•	s action is non-final.							
3)□									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
7)									
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.							
Applicat	tion Papers								
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.							
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		ı)-(d) or (f).						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer		tion No						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer			Stago					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		eu in inis National S	olage					
*	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ed						
	oce the attached detailed Office action for a lis	is a title definited dopied flot redely	 -						
Attachme	nt(s)								
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar							
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D S) Notice of Informal		152)					
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	. atom replication (i To	· /					

Art Unit: 3737

Specification

Page 2

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, applicant should update the status of the continuing data. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Oosta et al. The claims are directly readable on Oosta et al in that the reference discloses both means for measuring scattering and means for measuring fluorescence. The device is capable of assessing changes in the skin.

Claims 1,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Perelman et al. The claims are directly readable on Perelman et al in that the reference discloses both means for measuring scattering and means for measuring fluorescence. The device is capable of assessing changes in the skin.

Claims 1-3,6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. The claims are directly readable on Anderson et al in that the reference discloses both means for measuring scattering and means for measuring fluorescence. The device is capable of assessing changes in the skin. The measurements obtained inherently provide an indication of changes in the skin.

Art Unit: 3737

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al. Anderson et al discloses a method of optically assessing skin. The method includes measuring fluorescence and scattering. In the absence of any showing of criticality, the selection of the wavelength used in the scattering measurements would have been obvious to one skilled in the art based upon what parameter in the skin is being measured.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wach et al disclose that biological tissues are known as light absorbing matrices. Apruzzese et al disclose that fluorescence properties are adjustable through a wavelength range of 200 nm to 1000 nm.

Application/Control Number: 10/085,011 Page 4

Art Unit: 3737

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth S Smith whose telephone number is (703) 308-3063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 AM- 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth S Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3737